

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,437	01/26/2004	Wade Spital	Spital-MotorBoard	1536
44711 [.]	7590 07/25/2005	EXAMINER		INER
WADE SPITAL			WALTERS, JOHN DANIEL	
728 H STREET PETALUMA. CA 94962			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1211120111	11, 011 71702		3618	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2004	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/765,437	SPITAL, WADE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John D. Walters	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-28</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 9 – 28 have been examined. Claims 1 – 8 have been canceled by the applicant.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-13 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamen et al. (2001/0032743). Kamen et al. discloses a personal mobility vehicle composing:

- a body support, i.e. a support platform (Fig. 1, item 12), which overlays the rest of the vehicle (Fig. 1);
- at least three wheels mounted below said body support (page 3, paragraph 39);
- propulsion means (page 1, paragraph 4);

Application/Control Number: 10/765,437 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

said body support having a steering control for enabling the rider to steer the
 vehicle by tilting side to side (page 8, paragraph 93);

- said body support having a speed control for enabling the rider to modulate the speed of the vehicle by tilting forward and backward (page 8, paragraph 93);
- wherein the speed controller allows for forward and backward motion (page 5, paragraph 61);
- wherein said body support is a platform like deck (Fig. 1, item 12);
- wherein said body support is free of any upwardly extending supports (page 5, paragraph 61);
- wherein said body support includes a seat (page 8, paragraph 92);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen et al. (2001/0032743). Kamen et al. does not specifically mention biasing springs as the mechanism to set the direction and/or speed of the device when no input in received. However, Kamen et al. does states:

Application/Control Number: 10/765,437

Art Unit: 3618

"transporter 18...may also maintain a fixed position and orientation when the
user 10 is not on platform 12" (page 3, paragraph 40) using an electromechanical control system (Fig. 5), i.e. the device is biased towards no
movement and no pivoting if no user input is available.

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use a purely mechanical biasing means, i.e. biasing springs, in place of the electro-mechanical control system of Kamen et al. to bias the speed and directional controls of the vehicle. This would allow for a simple, inexpensive control system.

Claims 14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen et al. (2001/0032743) in view of White Sr. (3,751,062). Kamen et al. is silent on the inclusion of a removable handle. White Sr., however, discloses a scooter skateboard comprising:

 a removable handlebar (Fig. 1, items 14, 16, 18, and others making up the removable handle assembly).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the vehicle of Kamen et al. with the removable handle of White Sr. in order to provide a quickly removed steadying handle which can allow for multiple riding styles for said vehicle.

Claims 15 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen et al. (2001/0032743) in view of Favorito et al. (6,199,880). Kamen et al. is

Application/Control Number: 10/765,437 Page 5

Art Unit: 3618

silent on the inclusion of a foldable handle. Favorito et al., however, discloses a convertible skateboard/scooter comprising:

a handle (Fig. 1, item 300);

• a clamping articulation, i.e. handle bracket (Fig. 6, item 600).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the vehicle of Kamen et al. with the foldable handle of Favorito et al. in order to provide an attached but easily stowed handle which can allow for multiple riding styles for said vehicle.

Claims 16 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen et al. (2001/0032743) in view of Martin (5,020,621). Kamen et al. does not make use of truck type wheel assemblies. Martin, however, discloses an electric motor powered skateboard comprising:

- a steerable truck attached below the body support (Fig. 1, items 12 and 13);
- at least two wheels being truck wheels (Fig. 4, item 16);
- a steering union, i.e. blocks (Fig. 4, item 14);
- at least one remaining wheel being a drive wheel rotationally coupled to a motor (Fig. 5);
- where said drive wheel is located substantially in front or behind said truck wheels (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the vehicle of Kamen et al. with the truck type wheel assemblies of Martin in order to provide simple and inexpensive wheel system.

Claims 17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen et al. (2001/0032743) in view of Martin (5,020,621) as applied to claims 16 and 26 above, and further in view of Buscaglia (6,193,249). Kamen et al. in view of Martin does not include a linkage between the wheel trucks and the body support. Buscaglia, however, discloses a turning mechanism for tandem wheeled vehicles comprising:

a steering linkage coupling the body support to the steerable truck (Fig. 1a, item
 30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the vehicle/truck combination of Kamen et al. and Martin with the steering linkage of Buscaglia in order to assist the turning of the truck via tilting the body support.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Walters whose telephone number is (571) 272-8269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,437

Art Unit: 3618

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John D. Walters Examiner Art Unit 3618

I Eller

JDW

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000